

# University of Leicester and University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust joint Research Support Office Standard Operating Procedures

# University of Leicester (UoL) Research Governance Office SOP S-1024 UoL

# Study Closedown and End of Study Reporting for Research Sponsored by the University of Leicester

Version 3.2, September 2023

Office Base

Research Governance Office
University of Leicester
Academic Department, Ground Floor
Leicester General Hospital
Gwendolen Road
Leicester
LE5 4PW

Effective Date: October 2023

This SOP will be implemented in line with this document's effective date for all UoL Sponsored research still in set up. For active clinical research that is already in the recruitment phase (or further) at the time of implementation, this SOP must be implemented within 3 months of the effective date.

Please note the appendices associated with this SOP may be subject to interim changes. Please ensure that appendices are downloaded from the RGO webpages prior to use to ensure the latest version of the document is being used.

#### 1.0 Introduction and Scope

This Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) describes the procedures for reporting and documentation requirements for the closure of research sponsored by the University of Leicester (UoL). The document covers closure as defined in the protocol, along with early termination for safety, ethical or logistical reasons and closure of individual sites in multi-site studies.

The outcome is that the Sponsor is able to confirm study closure

## 2.0 Procedure

Study closure should be performed as defined in the study protocol and in accordance with regulatory requirements and Good Clinical Practice guidelines (GCP) as appropriate. Any planned changes to the closure of a study should be submitted as a Substantial Amendment to the Health Research Authority (HRA), Research Ethics Committee (REC), appropriate regulatory bodies and the NHS Trust R&DI in accordance with SOP S-1018 UoL (Amendments SOP).

The objective of study closure is to ensure that:

- The rights and wellbeing of all participants have been protected
- All essential documents have been stored appropriately in the Trial Master File (TMF) and Investigator Site Files (ISF)
- The correct approved version of the protocol was used and adhered to
- IMP accountability has been carried out
- Any Serious Adverse Events (SAEs), and Suspected Unexpected Serious Adverse Reactions (SUSARs) have been reported appropriately
- DSURs have been submitted
- Source Data Verification (SDV) has been undertaken
- Monitoring has been performed as described in the study monitoring plan
- All contractual requirements have been met
- Sample shipment requirements and processes have been adhered to
- A plan for document retention and archiving is in place
- Any outstanding gueries between the Sponsor and sites are resolved
- A study close-out report is produced

Plans for close down should be included in the monitoring plan (SOP S-1007) and discussed during the Sponsor Risk Assessment (SOP S-1003), Site Initiation Visit (SOP S-1011) and Green Light Process (SOP S-1025).

Archiving is covered in the Archiving SOP S-1032.

#### 2.1 Planned closure

It is expected that the definition of planned study closure will be outlined in the study protocol. The end of study would usually be described as the last visit of the last patient, upon final data collection or following sample analysis.

Final analysis of the locked database should occur after a study close down report has been completed. In cases of unblinding for randomised studies, written approval will be required in accordance with the SOP S-1035 UoL.

It is the responsibility of the Sponsor to ensure that study closure tracking and study end dates are maintained on the database. The aim is to support the production of an accurate overview and reporting of research activity sponsored by the UoL.

It is the responsibility of the Chief Investigator to discuss study closure with the Sponsor and to complete relevant required documentation. The Sponsor will ensure that the regulatory authorities and REC receive completed documentation within 90 days in accordance with required timelines.

Where required, a study close down visit will be performed. The site close down report (Appendix 1) must be completed and site close down visit logs (Appendix 2) completed and filed in the site file.

For Non-CTIMP studies, the Study Closedown checklist (Appendix 3) must be completed and signed by the Chief Investigator/Principal Investigator and a copy sent to the Sponsor and a copy filed in the TMF/ISF.

Database lock, validation and cleaning, must be done in accordance with SOP S-1036 Data Management process for research Sponsored by UoL.

#### 2.2 Premature Termination/Early Closure

As Sponsor, the UoL has a legal responsibility to notify the Competent Authority (MHRA), HRA and REC as relevant that a study has terminated early at a site within 15 days of the termination, irrelevant of reason. It may also be necessary to notify the following:

- Trial Management Group/Data Safety Monitoring Committee (where they have not been involved in the decision)
- Funding body/study finance staff
- All site investigators for multi-centre studies
- Medicinal product supplier

Research can be terminated prior to the planned closure date or event because of:

- Unsafe events attributed to the Study IMP (Investigational Medicinal Products)
- Poor toleration of the IMP
- Slow recruitment
- Sponsor decision
- Investigator decision
- Regulatory decision (e.g. MHRA)

It is essential that the CI discuss the process with the Sponsor to ensure that appropriate documentation is completed and submitted within the required timelines.

#### 2.2.1 Multi-site studies

Closure of sites in multi-site studies must be documented and retained in the ISF and within the site section of the TMF.

Confirmation of closure must include the justification of the closure, the number of participants still receiving treatment and the proposed management of those participants where appropriate.

It is recommended that a letter thanking the site for their contribution with an overall summary of the participants is sent by the CI or their delegate. The correspondence must include a reminder that the PI will be required to comply with any future audits or inspections of the closed study. There must be an agreed plan to resolve any financial balances, and information about the publication process.

The expectation will be that archiving of site study documentation be managed by the individual site. This will be discussed at the initial set up of the study along with the process to be used, and individuals responsible for close down of individual sites.

## 2.3 Final Report

A report of the study findings, negative and/or positive must be produced within one year of the declared end of study date. Please refer to SOP S-1038 'End of study reporting requirements'.

# 3.0 Archiving

Essential Documents must be archived in accordance with the Archiving SOP S1032 UoL. Details of what documents are regarded as 'essential' are detailed in the SOP S-1015 UoL.

# 4.0 Responsibilities

Responsibility	Undertaken by	Activity
Chief Investigator (CI) in collaboration with Sponsor CI	CI & Sponsor	Determine whether the Study:  Is due to conclude as described in the study protocol; OR  Requires an extension to the end date; OR  Is to terminate early, and why.  Discuss with Sponsor regarding study conclusion or
Sponsor	Head of Research Governance or their delegate	extension requirement. Complete required documentation.  Maintain the relevant database/s with the end date and study status related to closure or extension based on information from the CI and set reminders for final reports
Sponsor/CI	CI	Inform the regulatory authorities and the REC, and all other relevant parties as necessary copying in the Sponsor to all correspondence.
Sponsor	Head of Research Governance or their delegate	Ensure that all relevant parties are informed within the required timelines.
Sponsor	Trial Monitor or their delegate	Ensure all end of study procedures are completed.

## 5.0 Development and Approval record for this Document

This table is used to track the development and approval of the document.

Author	Job title	Reviewed by	Approved by	Date approved
Cat Taylor	Head of Research	UoL Research	Professor Nigel	28/09/2023
	Governance	Management	Brunskill	
		and Operations Group (RSMOG)	21	

# 6.0 Review Record

This table is used to track any changes made on revised/reviewed versions

Date	Issue Number	Reviewed By	Description Of Changes (If Any)
June 2015	2	Diane Delahooke	Close Down checklist updated.
Oct 2016	3	Diane Delahooke	Logos changed, HRA reference, removal of old Appendix 3 and replaced with non-CTIMP close down checklist.
Sept 2021	3.1	Cat Taylor	Administrative changes
September 2023	3.2	Cat Taylor	Administrative changes Minor updates to wording