

Research Code of Conduct

Contents

Research Code of Conduct.....	1
1 Introduction	2
1.1 Preamble	2
1.2 Definitions.....	3
2 Principles.....	4
2.1 The Concordat to Support Research Integrity	4
2.2 Guiding values and principles	5
2.3 Observance of the Code.....	5
2.4 Breach of the Code.....	5
2.5 Advice and training	5
2.6 External codes.....	6
3 Before Starting Research	6
3.1 Applying for funding and support	6
3.2 Ethics approval procedures.....	7
3.3 Research governance approvals and sponsor requirements for health research.....	9
3.4 Other approvals	9
3.5 Conflict of interest	10
3.6 Working with individuals and organisations outside the University	10
3.7 Preparations for research data collection.....	11
3.8 Arrangements for supervision of research	13
4 During the Research Project	13
4.1 Confidential Information.....	13
4.2 Intellectual property	14
4.3 Managing research data	15
4.4 Use of personal data	16
4.5 Freedom of Information and Data Protection requests	17
4.6 Use of research funds	17
4.7 Responsibilities of supervisors.....	17

5	After Research.....	18
5.1	Publishing research outputs.....	18
5.2	Authorship and acknowledgment.....	20
5.3	Providing open access to research outputs	21
5.4	Providing open access to research data	22
5.5	Retaining records and research data	22
5.6	Acting as a peer reviewer for funding applications	23
5.7	Reviewing manuscripts and other confidential information	24
6	Research Misconduct.....	24
6.1	Misconduct policy	24
6.2	Types of misconduct in research.....	25
6.3	Reporting misconduct in research	26
6.4	Notification of misconduct in research.....	28
6.5	Investigation of misconduct in research	29
6.6	Penalties for misconduct in research.....	29
7	Research Code of Conduct.....	30
	Annexe A.....	31
I.	University of Leicester policies, guidance and codes of practice	31
II.	UK Research and Innovation policies, guidance and codes of practice.....	32
III.	Other relevant policies, guidance and codes of practice.....	32
	Annexe B: UKRIO checklist for researchers.....	34

1 Introduction

1.1 Preamble

- 1.1.1 The University of Leicester’s Research Code of Conduct (‘the Code’) provides guidelines for good practice in research (as defined below), and guidance on situations involving misconduct in research.
- 1.1.2 The University is committed to maintaining the highest standards of rigour and integrity in the conduct of its research. The University expects

all those involved in research to observe these standards and to embed good practice in all aspects of their work, including the training of new researchers. **Good research practice is about the way in which research is planned, funded and conducted, results are recorded and reported, and the fruits of research are disseminated, applied and exploited.** Good research practice will allow ready verification of the quality and integrity of research data, provide a transparent basis for investigating allegations of bad practice or fraud, and lead to better research. The University, its staff,

students and collaborators all share in the responsibility for promoting and verifying good practice and creating an ethos of professionalism and integrity in the University's research culture.

1.1.3 The Code is intended to provide a clear and public statement of the University's research policies and practices. The Code sets out the obligations on researchers, in all disciplines, to be aware of the policies governing research at the University and to comply with institutional and regulatory requirements. This document should be read in conjunction with the relevant Ordinances and Regulations¹, and any other policies, procedures or guidance as may be issued by the University from time to time.

1.1.4 The University's Research Strategy, Policy and Performance Committee is responsible for establishing and reviewing policy guidelines for the proper conduct of research, including regularly reviewing and updating this Code to ensure it takes into account current guidelines and relevant legislation. Formal approval of the Code is given by Senate.

1.1.5 The University's Research Strategy, Policy and Performance Committee will oversee light-touch reviews approximately annually to include minor revisions and updating of references. Where the need for more major revisions to all or part of the Code is identified, for example to reflect changes to legislation or changes to funder regulations, the Research Strategy, Policy and Performance Committee will oversee these and seek approval from Senate.

1.2 Definitions

1.2.1 In this Code of Conduct, a phrase where the word **MUST** is in bold indicates a **mandatory** requirement for all researchers by the

University, or under UK law or other external regulations. Where **SHOULD** is in bold, it indicates a course of action that is recommended as best practice. Where **MAY** is in bold, it indicates a course of action which may be taken at the discretion of the appropriate person or persons (See also 2.3.3).

1.2.2 In this Code the term '**Researcher**' or '**You**' indicates an individual involved in research, including, but not limited to:

- staff in any of the University's job families (teaching and research, technical and experimental, management and administration, and community and operational), including Honorary Staff and Emeritus Professors;
- staff visiting from other institutions undertaking or supervising research at, or for, the University; and
- undergraduate and postgraduate students (both taught and research), whether registered here or on temporary placement.

This term also covers those involved in fundraising, providing consultancy, innovation, commercial and analytical services and those involved in the setting up and running of University spin-out companies.

1.2.3 In this Code '**Research**' is defined according to the internationally accepted OECD Frascati Manual² as "*Creative work undertaken on a systematic basis in order to increase the stock of knowledge, including knowledge of man [sic], culture and society, and the use of this stock of knowledge to devise new applications*". This includes, but is not limited to, funded and unfunded research projects, consulting within and outside the University, and exploitation and knowledge transfer activities. This Code applies to all research and consultancy activity undertaken by University

¹ Available from <http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/hr/policies/ordinances/statutes>

² Available from http://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/science-and-technology/frascati-manual-2002_9789264199040-en

staff and students in collaboration with other organisations, such as collaborative research projects, and to individuals from other organisations who are undertaking or supervising research at, or for, the University.

- 1.2.4 **‘Principal Investigator’** or **‘PI’** refers to the lead investigator – generally the main holder of the research funding or leader of a project or, for multi-institution projects, the University of Leicester lead investigator. This definition includes the defined role of Chief Investigator of a Clinical Study
- 1.2.5 **‘Supervisor’** covers any person responsible for oversight of other researchers.
- 1.2.6 **‘Head of Department’** refers to the Head of the academic unit to which a researcher belongs. Such units can include Schools, Departments, Research Institutes and other divisions within the University.
- 1.2.7 **‘Student’** covers any person who has registered on a programme of study with the University, which can include undergraduate, postgraduate taught and postgraduate research programmes. This also includes students from elsewhere visiting as part of an exchange or similar programme. A ‘Research Student’ is a student who is registered on a research-based programme of study, such as an MPhil, MRes, professional doctorate or PhD.
- 1.2.8 **‘Research Funder’** covers any organisation or person which provides research funding to the University, and can include research councils, public sector organisations, charities, non-governmental organisations, commercial and business organisations and government agencies, whether located within the UK or elsewhere.

- 1.2.9 **‘Research Funding’** covers all forms of external funding in support of research and enterprise activities including research grants and contracts, philanthropic donations, consultancy and industrial research contracts and grants in kind providing access to external expertise, facilities, equipment etc.

2 Principles

2.1 The Concordat to Support Research Integrity

- 2.1.1 As a supporter of the Concordat to Support Research Integrity³, the University has made five commitments to strengthen the integrity of our research. We are committed to:
- Maintaining the highest standards of rigour and integrity in all aspects of research;
 - Ensuring that research is conducted according to appropriate ethical, legal and professional frameworks, obligations and standards;
 - Supporting a research environment that is underpinned by a culture of integrity and based on good governance, best practice and support for the development of researchers;
 - Using transparent, robust and fair processes to deal with allegations of research misconduct should they arise; and
 - Working together to strengthen the integrity of research, and reviewing progress regularly and openly.
- 2.1.2 Each of the commitments in the Concordat places certain responsibilities upon the staff, collaborators and students who conduct research for, or at, the University, and upon the University as both an employer and trainer of researchers and as a funder of research.
- 2.1.3 The Code aims to provide clear guidelines to anyone conducting research for, or at, the University, to ensure that they are able to

³ Available from
<http://www.universitiesuk.ac.uk/highereducation/Pages/Theconcordattosupportresearchintegrity.aspx>

meet their responsibilities under the Concordat and other ethical, legal and professional standards.

2.2 Guiding values and principles

- 2.2.1 You **must** maintain the highest standards of integrity in the conduct of research, guided by the values of honesty, rigour, transparency and open communication, care and respect, and academic freedom.
- 2.2.2 Research activity **must** be based on the following guiding principles:
- Research within the University **should** pursue new knowledge and understanding.
 - Research methods and results **should** be open to scrutiny and debate unless they are subject to a contract or similar which requires confidentiality.
 - You **should**, in all aspects of your research:
 - Demonstrate integrity and professionalism;
 - Behave ethically and responsibly;
 - Ensure the accuracy of your results;
 - Observe fairness and equity;
 - Avoid conflicts of interest; and
 - Ensure the safety, welfare and dignity of those involved in or associated with your research.
- 2.2.3 Research **must** be conducted with due regard to any legitimate internal or external constraints or procedures which may apply, including legislation, University codes and policies, and policies and guidance issued by research councils and other organisations. An abridged list of relevant documents may be found in §I, II and III of Annexe A.
- 2.2.4 The University expects its members to ensure that their work enhances the reputation and standing of the University and the profession to which they belong, where relevant.

2.3 Observance of the Code

- 2.3.1 Staff in the job families referred to in para 1.2.2 (above) and visiting staff **must** familiarise themselves with this Code and its provisions, and ensure that they and others working around them adhere to these provisions.
- 2.3.2 Staff responsible for teaching or supervising students who are undertaking research **must** ensure that these students are familiar with the core principles of the Code. Researchers will be judged by the standards contained within this Code.
- 2.3.3 In addition to the mandatory requirements indicated by the use of '**must**' as defined in para 1.2.1 (above), this Code contains provisions (indicated by '**should**') which are recommended as best practice. Whilst these provisions are not mandatory, researchers will normally be expected to follow these recommendations, and researchers who choose not to should do so with good reason and be prepared to account for their actions.

2.4 Breach of the Code

- 2.4.1 This Code is linked to, and operates in conjunction with, conditions of employment for the relevant staff groups and other related University policies and procedures. Failure to abide by this Code may lead to the matter being considered under the University's disciplinary procedure.
- 2.4.2 The University **may** also refer researchers who fail to comply with the Code to their professional regulatory body (e.g. the General Medical Council).

2.5 Advice and training

- 2.5.1 If you are in doubt about the applicability of the Code, or about the appropriate course of action to be adopted in relation to it, you **must** seek advice from a suitable colleague, such as member of the Research Strategy, Policy and

Performance Committee⁴, a member of the relevant Research Ethics Committee⁵, the Director of the Research and Enterprise Division⁶, or the Director of Development and Alumni Relations⁷, or, for NHS-related research, to the University Research Governance Office⁸.

2.5.2 Training in various aspects of the Code is available at different levels (e.g. from Departments, Colleges and various offices within Corporate Services such as the Research and Enterprise Division) and you **should** ensure that you take advantage of the training offered both at a generic level and any subject-specific provision. The University, through its intranet, will draw attention to relevant training.

2.6 External codes

2.6.1 This Code has been drawn up to conform with the principles laid out in other relevant policies, guidelines and codes of conduct, including Universities UK's *Concordat to Support Research Integrity*, Research Councils UK's *Policy and Code of Conduct on the Governance of Good Research Conduct*, and the UK Research Integrity Office's *Code of Practice for Research and Procedure for the Investigation of Misconduct in Research*.

2.6.2 In the event of any lack of clarity, this Code should be interpreted in such a way as to conform to the requirements of the documents mentioned in para 2.6.1 (above). Researchers **must** also adhere to any regulations laid down by their professional body and any legal requirements relating to their research, such as Acts of Parliament or statutory regulations.

2.6.3 The University is signed up to the *Concordat to Support the Career Development of Researchers* and its seven key principles⁹, of which number six is of particular relevance to this Code: "Diversity and equality must be promoted in all aspects of the recruitment and career management of researchers".

3 Before Starting Research

3.1 Applying for funding and support

3.1.1 When you are applying for research funding or support of any kind (including grants, fellowships or studentships) you **must** ensure that the information you provide in any application is clear and accurate.

3.1.2 If you apply for research funding or support of any kind, you **must not** seek to identify or approach the assessors of your application. If you are requested to nominate assessors as part of the application process, you **may** approach them to seek their permission to nominate them.

3.1.3 When applying for research funding, you **must** make sure that you are familiar with the research funder's terms and conditions for applications and awards and ensure that you abide by these at all times.

3.1.4 When applying for research funding you **must** comply with University policies and regulations. You **must** also report to your Departmental Ethics Officer or Research Ethics Committee member any application which may give rise to a conflict of interest. More information can be found in section 3.5, [Conflict of interest](#).

⁴ Available from <http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/governance/committees/senate/research>

⁵ Available from <http://www2.le.ac.uk/institution/committees/research-ethics/terms-of-reference-and-reporting-structure>

⁶ Available from <https://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/red>

⁷ Available from <https://www2.le.ac.uk/alumni/about>

⁸ Available from <http://www2.le.ac.uk/colleges/medbiopsych/research/researchgovernance/ethics>

⁹ Available from <https://www.vitae.ac.uk/policy/vitae-concordat-vitae-2011.pdf>

- 3.1.5 Any researcher who is a signatory on an application for research funding or support of any kind **must** share responsibility for ensuring that the information submitted is clear and accurate, and will be held jointly responsible for any plagiarism (including self-plagiarism – See 6.2.4), fabrication, falsification or misrepresentation.
- 3.1.6 Where an application involves collaborative working with individuals and organisations outside the University, applicant researchers **must** ensure the collaborator's costs and any letters of support or agreements are appropriately included in the application (See 3.6 below).
- 3.1.7 When considering whether to apply for philanthropic funding you **must** seek advice in the first instance from the Development and Alumni Relations Office. The Guidance Note defining philanthropic donations and associated worked examples provides assistance for staff involved in planning approaches or bids for philanthropic support¹⁰.
- 3.1.8 The University has an obligation to conduct its fundraising, research and enterprise funding operations and relationships in an ethical manner, and to ensure that due diligence is observed when assessing whether or not to proceed with a funding application, or to accept an unsolicited philanthropic donation or research funding, or to establish specific philanthropic relationships or contracts.
- 3.1.9 The University's *Ethical Policy and Guidelines for the Acceptance and Refusal of Donations and Research and Enterprise Funding*¹¹ provides guidance for staff involved in planning and bidding for philanthropic donations or research or enterprise funding. You **must** ensure that any research funding sought

follows these guidelines and, where there is any doubt, you **must** seek advice from the Director of Development and Alumni Relations Office or the Director of the Research and Enterprise Division, as appropriate.

3.2 Ethics approval procedures

- 3.2.1 You **must** ensure that ethical approval is acquired prior to an application for research funding where this is a requirement of the research funder, for example NHS research, and before research proper commences (for example, approaches to secure access to fieldwork sites or data archives etc.) in other cases. In general, the PI is responsible for ensuring that ethical review and approval is in place if the research involves human participants, personal data or animal studies. Ethical approval **may** be required for projects funded by the University and those not receiving any funding at all, as well as for externally-funded projects.
- 3.2.2 You **should** seek advice on ethical approvals from the appropriate Departmental Ethics Officer or Research Ethics Committee member. Researchers may also seek advice from the Research Governance Manager, in particular around ethics review for research in the NHS.
- 3.2.3 You **must** ensure that ethical approval is secured prior to the commencement of any research where this is required by:
- Statute or Statutory instrument (such as the Clinical Trials Regulations or the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986);
 - Government Policy (e.g. UK Policy Framework for Health and Social Care Research for NHS Health research);
 - Regulatory authority, Safety Committee or Professional body;

¹⁰ Available from <https://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/alumni/staff-forms-and-guides>

¹¹ Available from <http://www2.le.ac.uk/alumni/about/ethical>

- Research funder (e.g. ESRC Framework for Research Ethics or Ministry of Justice); or
- the University *Research Ethics Code of Practice*¹².

- 3.2.4 In cases where ethical approvals are required and external research funding is available, Research Support Services will not release funds until it has received evidence that the necessary ethical approvals are in place. A temporary exemption may be granted for projects in which the development of the methodology forms part of the project such that prior approvals cannot be given.
- 3.2.5 Where ethics approval is required, you **must** seek ethics review and approval from the appropriate Research Ethics Committee. Researchers are responsible for ensuring that the correct Committee reviews the research. You should contact your Departmental Ethics Officer or Research Ethics Committee member for advice on which Committee to approach.
- 3.2.6 If your research involves the NHS it will need HRA¹³ approval. Please contact the Research Governance Office who will assist in this process. If HRA approval is required, the proposal does not need to be reviewed by a University Research Ethics Committee as well.
- 3.2.7 Where the research needs to be reviewed by the University, you **must** submit an application online by using the University Ethics Review system. Policy and Guidance on the University Ethics Review is regulated by the Research Ethics Code of Practice.
- 3.2.8 You **must** seek a favourable opinion (equivalent to approval from other ethics committees) from the relevant NHS Ethics

Committee and Health Research Authority (HRA) approval for:

- Research involving NHS patients (including their tissue or data) or the relatives or carers of NHS patients identified because of this status;
 - Health-related research involving prisoners;
 - Social care research projects funded by the Department of Health; or
 - Research where ethical review is required by law (e.g. within the remit of the Human Tissue Act, the Mental Capacity Act, or the Medicines for Human Use [Clinical Trials] Regulations 2004, as amended).
- 3.2.9 If a study is using only NHS staff or premises it may not require an NHS ethics opinion but will require HRA approval and will also require review through the University's ethical review system. For advice in this area, you should contact the Research Governance Manager¹⁴.
- 3.2.10 Additionally, National Competent Authority approval will also be required for studies using Clinical Trial Investigational Medicinal Products (CTIMP) that fall under the Medicines for Human Use (Clinical Trials) Regulations 2004.
- 3.2.11 Where research involves Ministry of Defence funded research, ethical approval **must** be sought from the relevant Ministry of Defence Research Ethics Committee (MODREC).
- 3.2.12 Where the ethical requirements of an approving body conflict with the provisions of this Code, or where the requirements of multiple approving bodies conflict, researchers **must** seek guidance from the Chair of the University Ethics Committee.
- 3.2.13 Research **must** be conducted in compliance with any conditions specified by an approving

¹² Available from: <http://www2.le.ac.uk/institution/ethics>

¹³ Available from <http://www.hra.nhs.uk/research-community/applying-for-approvals/hra-approval/>

¹⁴ Available from

<http://www2.le.ac.uk/colleges/medbiopsych/research/researchgovernance>

regulatory body, nominated reviewer, Research Ethics Committee, the University Ethics Committee or other relevant funder or approving body, and the University's *Research Ethics Code of Practice*.

3.3 Research governance approvals and sponsor requirements for health research

- 3.3.1 Research where ethical review is required by law (e.g. within the remit of the Human Tissue Act, the Mental Capacity Act, or the Medicines for Human Use [Clinical Trials] Regulations 2004, as amended), or research under the remit of the UK Policy Framework for Health and Social Care Research, or the Medicines for Human Use (Clinical Trials) Regulations, may not commence until an appropriate sponsor has been nominated and approved. The sponsor is distinct from the funder. Generally, a sponsor is required where research is carried out in the NHS involving NHS patients, their tissue, or data, or involves NHS staff or is carried out on NHS premises, or using NHS facilities or equipment (including GPs providing NHS services).
- 3.3.2 Researchers who wish to approach the University to act as sponsor **must** contact the Research Governance Manager¹⁵ to apply for sponsorship review. The University and the University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust operate a joint Research Support Office and have a memorandum of understanding on research governance¹⁶.
- 3.3.3 Clinical and health research which is sponsored by the University **must** comply with the Standard Operating Procedures issued by Research Sponsorship Management and

Operations Group of the College of Life Sciences¹⁷. The Research Governance Manager can be contacted for advice.¹⁸

- 3.3.4 Where clinical research is to be undertaken, researchers **must** familiarise themselves with and adhere to the specific roles and responsibilities assigned to them (including the defined roles of Principal or Chief Investigator of a Clinical Study) under the relevant legislation, or by other bodies involved with the clinical research.
- 3.3.5 Where the requirements of an approving body conflict with the provisions of this Code, or where the requirements of multiple approving bodies conflict, researchers **must** seek guidance from the Research Governance Manager.
- 3.3.6 Research **must** be conducted in compliance with any conditions specified by ethical and HRA approval, or other relevant approving body, including the University as sponsor.

3.4 Other approvals

- 3.4.1 Researchers **should** be aware that other aspects of a research project may also require approval or documentation before research commences. Examples include working with biological agents, potentially hazardous chemicals, controlled drugs, chemical weapon precursors, radiation or genetically modified organisms as well as health and safety, data security and information governance considerations. Researchers **must** ensure that all relevant procedures are completed prior to starting. Advice may be sought from Safety

¹⁵ Available from <http://www2.le.ac.uk/colleges/medbiopsych/research/researchgovernance>

¹⁶ Available from https://www2.le.ac.uk/colleges/medbiopsych/research/researchgovernance/Research_sponsorship/uhl-nhs-trust/mou-with-uhl-nhs-trust-on-research-governance

¹⁷ Available from http://www2.le.ac.uk/colleges/medbiopsych/research/researchgovernance/Research_sponsorship

¹⁸ Available from <http://www2.le.ac.uk/colleges/medbiopsych/research/researchgovernance/ethics>

Services¹⁹, Information Assurance Services²⁰ or IT Services²¹, as appropriate.

- 3.4.2 Additional regulations from the Home Office²² govern the use of animals in research and all such research **must** be licenced before research commences. The University's *Policy Statement on Research Involving the Use of Animals* provides more information.²³
- 3.4.3 Where research involves sensitive, security or terrorism-related material, researchers must ensure that all procedures set out in the University's Policy on Researching and Handling Sensitive, Extreme or Radical Material²⁴ have been followed and appropriate permissions obtained.

3.5 Conflict of interest

- 3.5.1 '**Conflict of interest**' includes any personal or family concern with the outcome of research, or any affiliation or involvement with any organisation sponsoring or providing financial support for a project, or any financial involvement in a project undertaken by a researcher. To be clear, financial involvement includes direct financial interest, provision of benefits (such as travel and accommodation) and provision of material or facilities.
- 3.5.2 You **must** make full disclosure of any conflict of interest associated with or arising from your research to your Departmental Ethics Officer or a member of the approving Research Ethics Committee as soon as reasonably practicable and identify the nature of the conflict.

- 3.5.3 You **must** comply with any instructions, requirements or directives from your Departmental Ethics Officer or member of the approving Research Ethics Committee in relation to a conflict of interest in research.
- 3.5.4 You **must** make full disclosure of any conflict of interest to any Research Ethics Committees or other approving bodies reviewing an application for ethics approval.
- 3.5.5 The *Financial Regulations* provide guidance on acceptance of gifts and other financial matters²⁵.

3.6 Working with individuals and organisations outside the University

- 3.6.1 If research is conducted in partnership with individuals or organisations outside the University, formal agreements **must** be put in place prior to the commencement of the research. Formal agreements normally include agreement on publication and authorship, ownership of intellectual property, the responsibilities of researchers, procedures for the resolution of issues and the investigation of allegations of misconduct. Depending on the nature of the project, agreements may also include arrangements for data sharing, supply of drugs, chemical or other materials and other project-specific issues.
- 3.6.2 All philanthropic support for research projects or programmes **must** be the subject of a Gift Agreement and the PI **must** work with the Development and Alumni Relations Office in producing such documents for approval.

¹⁹ Available from <https://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/safety-services>

²⁰ Available from <http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/ias>

²¹ Available from <http://www2.le.ac.uk/services/research-data>

²² Available from <https://www.gov.uk/research-and-testing-using-animals>

²³ Available from <http://www2.le.ac.uk/staff/policy/codes-of-practice-and-policy/statement>

²⁴ Available from <https://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/researchsupport/policyandstrategy/PolicyonSensitiveorExtremeResearchJune2016.pdf>

²⁵ Available from <http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/finance/staff/regulations/financial-regulations>

- 3.6.3 The PI **must** ensure that the Research and Enterprise Division is informed and RED will negotiate agreements with the relevant individuals and organisations. You **must not** sign any research-related agreements (including, but not limited to, consultancy, research grants, materials transfer and confidentiality / non-disclosure agreements) without specific approval from the Research and Enterprise Division.
- 3.6.4 If a project may result in exploitable intellectual property and any revenue sharing should the intellectual property be commercialised, you **must** contact the Research and Enterprise Division for advice, as outlined in the University's Intellectual Property policy.²⁶ You **must** consider any issues that might arise relating to intellectual property at the earliest opportunity, and ensure agreement is reached in advance on how they will be addressed.
- 3.6.5 Researchers **must** familiarise themselves with and adhere to the standards and procedures for the conduct of research laid out in any collaboration agreement. You **should** pay particular attention to projects involving collaborators from different countries or work carried out in another country, and be aware of any additional legal and ethical requirements or other guidelines which may apply as a result. The OECD report *Opportunities, Challenges and Good Practices in International Research Cooperation between Developed and Developing Countries* contains useful guidance in this area²⁷ as do *Doing Global Science: A guide to responsible conduct in the Global Research Enterprise* from the Interacademy

Partnership²⁸ and the *European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity*²⁹ from ALLEA.

- 3.6.6 Researchers **must not** enter into any agreement that may be overridden by the Freedom of Information Act. Researchers should be aware that the University is considered a 'public authority' subject to the Freedom of Information Act, and thus information held by the University, including research data, may be subject to freedom of information (FOI) requests. You **must** contact Information Assurance Services for queries relating to FOI and Research and Enterprise Division for contracts, so they can negotiate any confidentiality, non-disclosure or similar agreements.

3.7 Preparations for research data collection

- 3.7.1 Many research funders require a data management or data sharing plan as part of a grant application. You must consider, at an early stage in the design of your project, and propose to your funder, how you will manage and share your data. You need to consider methodologies for data collection, managing and storing your data, data security, data access and data sharing post-project. The Classification of Data must be considered using the University model³⁰ which will highlight associated data handling issues, requirements and appropriate IT. Appropriate technical and organisational measures to implement the data protection principles and to safeguard data must be put into place both when the means of processing is decided, and at the time of the processing itself. Data security measures should be built in to the design throughout the lifecycle of the data. Appropriate measures

²⁶ Available from:

<http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/ebd/documents/IP%20Policy.pdf>.

²⁷ Available from <http://www.oecd.org/science/sci-tech/47737209.pdf>

²⁸ Available from

<http://interacademycouncil.net/File.aspx?id=29431>

²⁹ Available from

https://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/hi/h2020-ethics_code-of-conduct_en.pdf

³⁰ Available from

<https://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/ias/university-data-classification/university-data-classification-principles>

include pseudonymisation. Privacy by design and default (i.e. inclusion of privacy and data protection³¹ compliance from the start of the project) is a mandatory requirement, and ignoring this can lead to a fine. The Data Protection Act 2018 creates a new criminal offence for a person knowingly or recklessly to re-identify information that is de-identified personal data without the consent of the controller responsible for de-identifying the personal data.³²

3.7.2 The General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and Data Protection Act 2018 both came into force on 25th May 2018 and will apply together. GDPR significantly enhances the rights of individuals, and places greater responsibilities on organisations that manage personal data. For researchers, due regard will need to be given to obtaining and recording consent, building privacy by design and default into the research process at the very start, and carrying out a privacy impact assessment. Advice can be obtained from Information Assurance Services (IAS) and the IAS web pages.³³ The Information Commissioner's Office, which is the Supervisory Authority for the UK, publishes useful guidance.³⁴

3.7.3 As part of General Data Protection Regulation obligations, before processing the personal data of research participants, a legal basis for that processing must be identified and recorded along with the purposes of processing. In addition, a description of the categories of the following also need to be maintained in an Information Asset Register held by the University: data subjects; personal data; and recipients to whom the personal data will be disclosed. Please note that 'Legitimate Interests' as a legal basis will only be available to use in limited circumstances. Contact IAS for

further information and any additional requirements to which you may be subject.

3.7.4 For Universities the legal basis for the processing of personal data for research will normally be a 'task in the public interest'. If consent is used as the legal basis it must be freely given, specific and informed and must include an unambiguous indication of an individual's wishes. Consent to the processing of personal data (as defined in GDPR) must be 'explicit', which means consent in writing or its electronic equivalent. This consent may be separate from consent to take part in the research project. Researchers must remember that participants have the right to refuse or withdraw consent at any time for any reason. Consent must be properly recorded, as must withdrawal of consent, with evidence maintained for both.

3.7.5 **Data Protection Impact Assessments:** Where processing operations are likely to result in a high risk to the rights and freedoms of participants, the University is required (via IAS) to carry out a data protection impact assessment to evaluate, in particular, the origin, nature, particularity and severity of any risks. The outcome of the assessment should be taken into account when determining the appropriate measures to be taken to ensure that the processing of personal data complies with data protection legislation. Where the assessment indicates a high risk which the University cannot mitigate by appropriate measures in terms of available technology and costs of implementation, IAS will consult with the Information Commissioners Office and data processing must not begin without IAS approval.

³¹ Available from <https://ico.org.uk/for-organisations/guide-to-data-protection/privacy-by-design/>
³² Available from <https://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/ias/privacy-impact-assessments>

³³ Available from <https://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/ias>

³⁴ Available from <https://ico.org.uk/>

3.7.6 IT Services³⁵, Information Assurance Services or, in relation to NHS and patient data, the Information Governance lead and Research Governance Manager³⁶ can provide advice on information and data security, including the backup of research data and encryption of sensitive or confidential information, including security- or terrorism-related data.

3.7.7 More information on research data management can be found in sections 4.3, Managing research data and 5.3, Providing open access to research outputs. You should also consult the Data Management guidance on the University website³⁷.

3.8 Arrangements for supervision of research

3.8.1 Heads of Department **must** ensure that specific, responsible and appropriately qualified researchers are assigned to act as supervisors for each student undertaking research (including at undergraduate, Master's and doctoral levels), trainee researcher (such as clinical trainees) or research assistant within their academic unit.

3.8.2 Heads of Department **must** monitor the number of students, research assistants and trainee researchers assigned to a particular supervisor so as to ensure effective intellectual interaction and effective oversight of the research at all times. Heads of Department **must** ensure that the Senate regulation³⁸ concerning the number of research students being supervised, and the status of the supervisor, are adhered to.

3.8.3 Supervisors **must** familiarise themselves with their responsibilities as set out in this Code. Supervisors **must** observe all of the responsibilities set out in the Code and **must not** accept appointment as a Supervisor if they do not expect to be able to discharge all of these responsibilities. See section 4.7, Responsibilities of supervisors for further details.

3.8.4 Supervisors **must** also ensure that they are aware of, and abide by, Senate Regulation 9, which governs research degree programmes³⁹.

3.8.5 The Head of Department, or Supervisor, **should** provide each student undertaking research or trainee researcher or research assistant with written material on applicable government and institutional guidelines for research conduct, including this Code and other policies, codes of practice and guidelines on research integrity, ethical requirements for studies on humans and animals (where appropriate), confidentiality and occupational health and safety.

3.8.6 Recruitment of researchers **must** be carried out in accordance with the University's equal opportunity policy and recognised recruitment processes for staff or students, as appropriate.

4 During the Research Project

4.1 Confidential Information

4.1.1 You **must** ensure that you and any students or other researchers under your supervision comply with all the provisions of agreements prepared by the Research and Enterprise

³⁵ Available from <http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/itservices/ithelp/my-computer/files-and-security>

³⁶ Available from <http://www2.le.ac.uk/colleges/medbiopsych/research/information-governance-igt>

³⁷ Available from <http://www2.le.ac.uk/services/research-data/rdm>

³⁸ Available from <https://www2.le.ac.uk/departments/doctoralcollege/zone/staff/registration/supervisor-appointments>

³⁹ Available from <https://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/sas2/regulations/documents/senate-regulations-9/documents/sr9-research-degrees/view>

Division. This includes all confidentiality provisions, which are very likely to extend beyond the term of the contract. The Research and Enterprise Division is able to advise on compliance with contractual provisions.

4.2 Intellectual property

- 4.2.1 In line with UK legislation, the University owns all intellectual property or other materials developed by its employees, unless explicitly stated otherwise. In exchange, the University provides generous revenue sharing schemes for employees and their Departments, should the intellectual property be commercialised. Details of the schemes can be found in the University's *Intellectual Property Policy*⁴⁰. The University does, however, waive copyright of academic outputs such as theses, journal articles, books or book chapters.
- 4.2.2 Students are not employees of the University and therefore legally own any intellectual property arising from their research as long as all of the creative intellectual input has been that of the student. Where the research results clearly arise out of the intellectual input from both the student and supervisor then, depending on the particular circumstances, the intellectual input is jointly owned by the student and the University or each owns any intellectual property that results from their respective creative inputs, subject to the individual circumstances of each research activity.
- 4.2.3 When a researcher is both a student and an employee (e.g. a graduate teaching or research assistant, an undergraduate doing hourly paid work via Unitemps, or a member of staff taking a part-time undergraduate, postgraduate taught or postgraduate research degree), ownership of intellectual property will normally be determined by whether the

intellectual property was created during the researcher's duties as a member of staff or a student.

- 4.2.4 The University will decide, on a case-by-case basis, whether students should assign their intellectual property to the University. Supervisors are responsible for ensuring that the appropriate documentation for executing such an assignment is signed in conjunction with the Research and Enterprise Division. On assigning their intellectual property to the University, students will benefit from the University's exploitation policy⁴¹ on the same terms as employees.
- 4.2.5 Where funding (cash or in kind) is received from an external body, there may be agreement that the sponsoring body has rights to ownership of intellectual property arising from the project. The supervisors of students involved in such research, in conjunction with the Research and Enterprise Division, are responsible for ensuring that the appropriate assignments of ownership are in place between any student and the University.
- 4.2.6 The University's *Intellectual Property Policy* sets out the procedures to be followed should you make an invention or discovery in the course of a research project carried out as part of your normal University activities. It is essential that you contact the Research and Enterprise Division at an early stage to obtain advice and guidance. You **must** also be aware of the need to maintain confidentiality regarding the results of research, pending legal protection, in accordance with any instructions or advice from the Research and Enterprise Division.
- 4.2.7 There is a range of ways in which confidentiality can be compromised by

⁴⁰ Available at <https://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/red/enterprise>

⁴¹ Available from <http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/ebd/documents/IP%20Policy.pdf>

disclosure of information including a discovery or invention. Disclosures may occur as a result of posters, presentations, emails, informal conversations etc., in addition to published work. Breaches of confidentiality may result in actions for recovery of losses by a research funder or external collaborator against the University and the individual concerned, together with a loss of income. Even if a research funder is not involved, breaking confidentiality will result in an inability to protect the relevant intellectual property at any time in the future. It is possible to have confidential conversations without compromising IP under the protection of a confidentiality agreement; such agreements can be prepared by the Research and Enterprise Division, to which all enquiries should be directed.

- 4.2.8 If you leave the University, any intellectual property developed during your employment which is owned by the University, or by any research funder to whom such intellectual property has been assigned in accordance with a relevant contract or licence, remains the property of the University or funder. It should not be divulged to third parties without the permission of its owner, unless it is already in the public domain.
- 4.2.9 Where research involves collaborative working with individuals and organisations outside the University, any protectable intellectual property developed by collaborators will be handled as agreed in the research contract. If the confidentiality clause of the research contract contains suitable provisions, details of the intellectual property may be disclosed to all of the collaborators; otherwise it will be necessary to keep the details to those deemed 'inventors' only.

4.2.10 Researchers **must** ensure that they do not divulge information received from a third party under terms of confidentiality (even to other University employees unless explicitly permitted) without written permission, as to do so may render them liable to claims by the owner of the information. Such restrictions are very likely to persist beyond the end of a research project.

4.3 Managing research data

- 4.3.1 The University regards research data as a valuable asset. The management of research data is an integral part of good research practice that allows reliable verification of results, protects the intellectual and financial investment made in its creation, enables it to be shared, and prompts new and innovative research.
- 4.3.2 You **must** apply the University's Research Data Management Principles in managing your research data.⁴²
- 4.3.3 Research data **must** be recorded legibly, clearly and accurately in a suitable form, with appropriate references. A series of general and funder-based data management guides and a dedicated University website are available⁴³. NHS-related data must be recorded in line with any source data agreements, data management plans and rules relating to recording of data in medical notes etc.⁴⁴
- 4.3.4 Backup copies of electronic data **should** always be made and retained, and files **should** preferably be held in a data archive. Information that is held on central facilities managed by IT Services are regularly backed up, including all files and emails held within University IT accounts (including R:, X: and Z: drives, but not local drives).

⁴² Available from http://www2.le.ac.uk/services/research-data/documents/uol_rdmprinciples

⁴³ Available from <http://www.le.ac.uk/researchdata>

⁴⁴ Available from <http://www.ct-toolkit.ac.uk/routemap/trial-documentation>

- 4.3.5 Researchers **should** ensure that they have plans in place for appropriate short-term data storage, creation of metadata, access control, sharing, secure data transfers, data back-up and long-term curation including selection and use of long-term storage via a repository or archive and the use of suitable formats, as outlined in the Research Data Management Principles.
- 4.3.6 When undertaking sensitive, security or terrorism-related research, researchers **must** ensure that they have suitable encryption and storage systems in place before research commences.
- 4.3.7 You **must** handle all research data, associated documentation or information and communications, in whatever format, in compliance with the Information Security Policy⁴⁵. Guidance can be obtained from IT Services and from Information Assurance Services. Risk assessments **must** be carried out where appropriate.
- 4.3.8 Researchers must report immediately to IAS any loss of security or data breach likely to result in some degree of risk to the rights or freedoms of participants. A personal data breach is defined as a breach of security leading to the accidental or unlawful destruction, loss, alteration, unauthorised disclosure of, or access to, personal data transmitted, stored or otherwise processed. Any loss of security is likely to qualify as a personal data breach. The University (via IAS) is required to notify any breach to the Office of the Information Commissioner within 72 hours of having become aware of it.
- 4.3.9 Where data are supplied by a third party, advice on contractual matters must be sought from Purchasing, IT Services and Information

Assurance Services in addition to any advice from the Research and Enterprise Division.

4.4 Use of personal data

- 4.4.1 '**Personal data**' means any information relating to an identified or identifiable person ('data subject'); an identifiable person is one who can be identified, directly or indirectly, in particular by reference to an identifier such as a name, an identification number, location data, an online identifier, or to one or more factors specific to their physical, physiological, genetic, mental, economic, cultural or social identity.
- 4.4.2 You **must** ensure that any use of data within your research complies with the General Data Protection Regulation and the Data Protection Act 2018. Special care must be taken with personal data, which **must** be processed in accordance with the applicable legislation and the University's *Data Protection Code of Practice*⁴⁶, and **must not** be kept longer than is necessary for that purpose. Researchers **must** pay particular attention to the *Data Protection Code of Practice* with respect to the collection, processing, protection, retention and disposal of personal data.
- 4.4.3 Researchers **should**, wherever practicable, use anonymised data for research. You **should** remove or destroy any personal identifiers from non-anonymised data received unless it is absolutely necessary to retain them for research purposes.
- 4.4.4 You **must** contact Information Assurance Services to discuss any receiving or sharing of personal data with external bodies involved in research.

⁴⁵ Available from
<http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/ias/resources/policies/ispolicy/information-security-policy-a-z>

⁴⁶ Available from:
http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/ias/resources/policies/dpp/dp_codeofpractice.pdf

4.5 Freedom of Information and Data Protection requests

- 4.5.1 Researchers **must** immediately refer any requests for information through the Freedom of Information Act or, for access to personal data through the Data Protection Act 2018 or the General Data Protection Regulation, to Information Assurance Services. You **must not** respond to any requests yourself.
- 4.5.2 Researchers **must** be aware that research data may be accessible through the Freedom of Information Act⁴⁷, although exemptions do apply.
- 4.5.3 Researchers **must** be aware that under data protection legislation individuals have a statutory right to obtain a copy of personal data held about them. All such requests **must** be forwarded to Information Assurance Services without delay.

4.6 Use of research funds

- 4.6.1 If you are in receipt of research funding, you **must** use those funds for the purpose for which they were provided and in accordance with the conditions for accepting those funds. You **must** familiarise yourself and others involved with the research with those conditions in order to ensure they are not accidentally breached.
- 4.6.2 If you hold research funding you **must** ensure that you do not, either by action or inaction, prevent the University from fulfilling its obligations to the research funder. Researchers may contact the Research and Enterprise Division at any time during or beyond the end of a research project for further information.
- 4.6.3 Written consent **must** be obtained from the research funder, before any change is made,

when the use of funds differs from any conditions previously approved.

- 4.6.4 Researchers who hold research funding **must** assist the University in compliance with the monitoring and audit regulations of the research funder. Principal Investigators **must** ensure that all researchers involved with a research project are aware of their responsibilities in this area.
- 4.6.5 You **must** comply with all University and research funder regulations relating to the employment of staff using research funding.

4.7 Responsibilities of supervisors

- 4.7.1 Supervisors **must** provide any researchers they are supervising with guidance on all matters of good research practice. This includes discussing, at the outset, relevant issues of intellectual property, research conduct and ethics with research students, research assistants and trainee researchers, and referring any problems or queries to the Head of Department.
- 4.7.2 Supervisors must ensure that staff researchers:
- have access to annual appraisal and are encouraged to take part in this;
 - are able to request flexible working especially for family and caring responsibilities⁴⁸;
 - have working arrangements that do not directly discriminate against groups associated with a protected characteristic nor indirectly discriminate unless it cannot be avoided and is justifiable;
 - have access to mentoring or training organised on a Departmental or College basis or centrally, and are encouraged to attend relevant opportunities;

⁴⁷ Available from <http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/ias/information/researchers/oi4researchers>

⁴⁸ Available from <https://www.gov.uk/flexible-working>

- have access to facilitated maternity, adoption or paternity leave (supervisors should seek permission to cover the costs from research funders or, failing that, from the University).

More information on equal opportunities can be obtained from the Equality, Diversity and Inclusion Team⁴⁹.

- 4.7.3 The policy on pregnancy, maternity, paternity and adoption provides guidance for postgraduate research students who are pregnant or become parents at the commencement of or during their studies.⁵⁰
- 4.7.4 Supervisors **must** ensure, as far as possible, the validity of research data obtained by researchers under their supervision.
- 4.7.5 Where a student is processing personal data as part of their studies, supervisors **must** ensure that the processing complies with the Data Protection Act 2018, the General Data Protection Regulation and any other applicable legislation (see section 4.4, Use of personal data above).
- 4.7.6 Supervisors **must** ensure that students and other researchers under their supervision are made aware of any training provided on good conduct in research, and **should** ensure attendance at mandatory courses, as well as encouraging attendance at other relevant courses.
- 4.7.7 The supervisors of research students (such as those registered for MPhil, MRes, PhD or a professional doctorate) have a particular responsibility to ensure appropriate

recognition of the student's contribution to any research on which a publication is based. An agreement, preferably written, **should** be reached early in the candidature between the supervisor and the student in respect of the attribution of authorship, embracing the principles of open and mutual recognition.

- 4.7.8 Supervisors **must** also ensure that they are aware of, and abide by, the Senate regulation covering research degree programmes⁵¹.
- 4.7.9 Supervisors **must** ensure that ethical approval is obtained, where required, for any research undertaken by staff and undergraduate or postgraduate students working under their supervision.

5 After Research

5.1 Publishing research outputs

- 5.1.1 Researchers **must** publish and disseminate research in a manner that reports the research and their findings accurately and without selection that could be misleading. If the terms of funding require publication within a set period, researchers must make every effort to meet these deadlines, for example the 12 month deadline set for clinical trials under Good Clinical Practice rules.⁵²
- 5.1.2 Anyone who has participated in a substantial way in conceiving, executing or interpreting at least part of the relevant research **must** be given the opportunity to be included as an author of a publication derived from that research (see also section 5.2, Authorship and acknowledgment).

⁴⁹ Available from <http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/equalities-unit>

⁵⁰ Available from <https://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/sas2/quality/documents/student-pregnancy-maternity-paternity-and-adoption>

⁵¹ Available from <https://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/sas2/regulations/documents>

</senate-regulations-9/documents/sr9-research-degrees/view>

⁵² Available from <http://www.ich.org/products/guidelines/efficacy/efficacy-single/article/good-clinical-practice.html>

- 5.1.3 Any person who has not participated in a substantial way in conceiving, executing or interpreting at least part of the relevant research **must not** be included as an author of a publication derived from that research.
- 5.1.4 Where the publication or dissemination of research or research findings generates, or may generate, interest from the media or the general public, researchers **must** seek advice from the Press Office⁵³.
- 5.1.5 A publication **must** contain appropriate reference to the contributions made by all participants in the research, and information on sources of financial support for the research upon which the publication is based. Authors **must** seek permission to include such references, and agreement on the specific form of words to be used, prior to publication.
- 5.1.6 Where a research funder or contributor specifies a form of words and/or content for acknowledgements of support or protection of anonymity, you **must** make sure that your reference meets these requirements.
- 5.1.7 If you are put under pressure, by sponsors and research funders or other parties, to discourage or suppress appropriate publication or dissemination, or to influence the presentation or interpretation of findings, you **must** report this to the Chair of the Research Strategy, Policy and Performance Committee or Director of the Research and Enterprise Division in the first instance.
- 5.1.8 Each author of a publication **must** agree to its final, published, version.
- 5.1.9 All authors share responsibility for the veracity of the published work. Each author **must** satisfy themselves that the research reported has been carried out properly and ethically.
- 5.1.10 A publication that is closely related to another publication derived from the same research (such as one using the same dataset) **must** contain appropriate reference to the other publication.
- 5.1.11 You **must not** submit work that is substantially similar in style or content to more than one journal or publisher unless it has been rejected by the previous journal or publisher. You **must not** re-use (substantial parts of) work that has been previously published without seeking permission from the copyright holder (e.g. republishing journal articles as book chapters at a later date).
- 5.1.12 The bibliography for a publication **must** be appropriate for the output, accurate and avoid excessive self-citation.
- 5.1.13 Forthcoming publications **must** be accurately described in references and lists of publications, using terms such as 'in preparation', 'submitted to', 'accepted for publication', 'forthcoming' or 'in press', and subject to the rules of publishers and journals.
- 5.1.14 Should a published work be found to contain errors, other than those of a minor, typographical nature, the editor of the journal or the publisher **must** be informed immediately. The appropriate corrective action, for example through publication of a correction or retraction of the paper, **should** be determined in consultation with the editor. The action **must** be reported to the Chair of the Research Strategy, Policy and Performance Committee who will consider whether the error arises from misconduct in research and whether further action is needed.
- 5.1.15 Although the University does not assert its ownership of the copyright in respect of

⁵³ Available from <http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/press/for-staff>

material such as books, journals and articles, it does retain its right to use and produce such materials for internal educational purposes whilst recognising the author's moral rights.

5.1.16 You **must** ensure that all reports and other publications arising from research projects bear an appropriate assertion of copyright. If your publisher makes your research output immediately open access from their website, your funder may require that you additionally specify a particular reuse license, e.g. Creative Commons.

5.1.17 You **must** ensure that the addresses of all University-affiliated authors are stated in the publication in a format that complies with the University policy on *Institutional Affiliation in Research Publications*⁵⁴, to ensure that the University receives the appropriate academic prestige and acknowledgement in citations of the publication.

5.1.18 Any conflicts of interest **must** be declared when research findings are reported at meetings, conferences, in presentations or in publications (see Section 3.5).

5.1.19 Researchers **should** seek advice from the Research and Enterprise Division or a Research Ethics Committee, as appropriate, in cases where the publication and dissemination of research and the findings of research includes:

- Confidential or proprietary information;
- Information or data relating to patents or intellectual property;
- Findings with serious implications for public health; and/or
- Contractual or legal obligations.

5.2 Authorship and acknowledgment

5.2.1 Any person who is to be included as author of a publication **must**:

- Have made a substantial contribution to the research from which the publication is derived;
- Be familiar with the entire contents of the publication;
- Have participated sufficiently in the research to take public responsibility for the content of the publication; and
- Meet any criteria for authorship made by a publisher or editor.

5.2.2 Any person who does not meet the criteria in para 5.2.1 of this Code **must not** be included as author.

5.2.3 Any person who has made a contribution to the research, but who does not meet the criteria in para 5.2.1 of this Code to be included as author, **must** be formally acknowledged in a publication based on that research. This would include students, research assistants, technical officers and individuals and organisations which have provided financial support as well as organisations providing data. Authors **must** obtain written permission from any persons acknowledged by name. Individuals, such as respondents or patients, **may** be acknowledged as a group rather than individually.

5.2.4 Where a researcher believes that they have been unfairly denied the opportunity to be included as an author of a publication, or that they or another researcher has been incorrectly included as an author, the involved parties **should** first seek to reach agreement amongst themselves. If this is not possible, researchers **should** seek assistance from the

⁵⁴ Available from
<http://www2.le.ac.uk/library/downloads/institutional-affiliation-policy>

Chair of the Research Strategy, Policy and Performance Committee.

- 5.2.5 Guidance on publication and authorship is provided by the *Committee of Publication Ethics (COPE)*⁵⁵ and the *International Committee of Medical Journal Editors*⁵⁶. You may also find the UKRIO guidance note *Good practice in research: Authorship* useful⁵⁷.

5.3 Providing open access to research outputs

- 5.3.1 The University has an *Open Access Policy*⁵⁸ requiring free unrestricted online access to all published research outputs authored by members of the University, where allowed by agreement with the publisher. Authors **must** follow this policy, seeking advice from the Library Open Access team where needed.
- 5.3.2 Staff authors **must** submit the full text of their accepted manuscript (in the case of journal articles and conference proceedings) to the Leicester Research Archive (LRA) via the Integrated Research Information System (IRIS) within 3 months from date of acceptance for publication. The bibliographic details of these and also all other publications should be included in IRIS. In some cases, the LRA **may** accept the final published version of a publication where this is permitted by the publisher. Manuscripts are made publicly available from the LRA after the expiry of any publisher embargo period which may apply.
- 5.3.3 Where a publication reports the results of research which has been partly or fully funded

by an external source, the authors **must** ensure that they comply with **each** research funder's open access requirements, as stated in the research funder's terms and conditions of funding. Open access deposit is now mandatory for work supported by many funders and for journal articles and conference proceedings that might be submitted to the Research Excellence Framework⁵⁹. Authors **should** seek advice from the Library Open Access team if in any doubt⁶⁰.

- 5.3.4 Where a research funder has provided funds to the University to cover publishers' fees associated with making outputs available under open access, applications for payment of publishers' fees **should** be made to the Library before the output is submitted for publication.⁶¹
- 5.3.5 Student authors **should** approach Leicester Research Archive staff directly for assistance on depositing publications in the archive by contacting openaccess@le.ac.uk.
- 5.3.6 Doctoral students are required to make a copy of their thesis available in open access form in addition to submitting a paper copy. They **must** deposit an electronic copy of the final thesis in the Leicester Research Archive in accordance with the instructions in the Research Student Handbook⁶². Students **should** familiarise themselves with the allowances for embargo periods, as set out in the Handbook, and ensure that they make any request for an embargo at the appropriate time⁶³.

⁵⁵ Available from <http://publicationethics.org/resources/guidelines>

⁵⁶ Available from <http://www.icmje.org/>

⁵⁷ Available from <http://ukrio.org/wp-content/uploads/UKRIO-Guidance-Note-Authorship-v1.0.pdf>

⁵⁸ Available from <http://www2.le.ac.uk/library/downloads/open-access/open-access-policy>

⁵⁹ Available from <http://www.hefce.ac.uk/rsrch/oa/Policy/>

⁶⁰ Available from <http://www.le.ac.uk/openaccess>

⁶¹ Available from <https://www2.le.ac.uk/library/for/researchers/publish/openaccessfund>

⁶² Available from: <https://www2.le.ac.uk/departments/doctorscollege/handbook/thesis/final-submission>

⁶³ Available from: <https://www2.le.ac.uk/departments/doctorscollege/handbook/thesis/embargo>

5.4 Providing open access to research data

- 5.4.1 Researchers **should** make publicly funded research data openly available, with as few restrictions as possible, in a timely and responsible manner that does not harm intellectual property or research participants, in line with the policies of any research funder.
- 5.4.2 Research data related to publications **should** be made available for consultation by researchers outside the group which conducted the initial research, except where confidentiality provisions prevail.
- 5.4.3 For all NHS-related research, researchers are encouraged to deposit suitably formatted data in a publicly accessible database: this is mandatory for all clinical trials involving an investigational medicinal product (drug studies).
- 5.4.4 Where required by a publisher or funding body, you **must** deposit your research data in either an external subject-specific repository or the University of Leicester Figshare digital research repository⁶⁴.
- 5.4.5 Confidentiality provisions may apply in circumstances where the University or the researcher has made or given confidentiality undertakings to third parties, or confidentiality is required to protect intellectual property rights. You **must** be aware whether confidentiality provisions apply and, if you are the Principal Investigator, ensure that all other researchers on the project are aware of these obligations. Advice on confidentiality agreements with potential commercial or industrial exploitation partners to protect intellectual property rights, including any embargo periods on

publications, may be obtained from Research and Enterprise Division.

5.5 Retaining records and research data

- 5.5.1 Long-term storage and access to research data should be managed through either an appropriate funder-provided, discipline-specific facility or the University of Leicester Figshare digital research repository. You **should**, wherever possible, store primary and secondary research data in a secure and accessible form. Research data resulting from a study **should** be available to other researchers under FAIR principles (Findable, Accessible, Interoperable and Re-usable), so that they may replicate the study or elaborate on its findings.⁶⁵
- 5.5.2 Confidential information (including personal data) **must** be destroyed and disposed of securely once it is no longer required, after agreed periods of retention have expired, or in cases where destruction is required for legal or ethical reasons, in accordance with the University's *Information Handling Policy*.⁶⁶ Sensitive paper documents **should** be shredded, and electronic data **should** be securely erased. You **should** seek assistance from your Departmental Computer Officer or IT Services for advice on the secure disposal of electronic data. In addition, you **must** ensure that you comply with any additional legal or ethical requirements, or requirements from research funders or collaborating organisations, regarding the secure disposal of confidential data.
- 5.5.3 For data and documents related to clinical trials, researchers **must** be aware of the University's responsibilities as a sponsor of clinical trials, and ensure that they retain the

⁶⁴ Available late-2018

⁶⁵ Available from:
https://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/hi/h2020-ethics_code-of-conduct_en.pdf .

⁶⁶ Available from:
<http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/ias/resources/policies/ispolicy/>.

essential documents required by the *Medicines for Human Use (Clinical Trials) Amendment Regulations 2006* or any subsequent regulations. Standard Operating Procedures for studies which are sponsored by the University **must** be followed. Further information, including details of the essential documents, can be found in the Standard Operating Procedures of the Research Sponsorship and Management Group.⁶⁷

- 5.5.4 Data **must** be retained intact for a period of at least five years, or any longer period required by an approving body, the research funder or under legislation. Minimum periods commence on the date at which the final report was sent to the research funder or the date on which the output was published or, for student research, the date of submission of the thesis or dissertation. The term 'data' here includes all unpublished evidence, whether numerical or otherwise, on which the publication is based and from which results can be replicated or reproduced. Hard copy, such as laboratory notes, field notes, questionnaire responses, signed consent forms, the research protocol for the project, photographic records, and subsequent electronic files should all be retained.
- 5.5.5 In studies involving invasive procedures (including ingested substances – unless a CTIMP study - and venepuncture), or in psychiatric studies, the standard period for retention of volunteer information and informed consent is a minimum of five years. For CTIMP studies involving participants who are under the age of 18, volunteer information and informed consent **must** be stored for a minimum of 10 years after each participant's 18th birthday.

- 5.5.6 Researchers **should** be aware that the retention periods may vary, in line with regulatory requirements, and **should** ensure that they are aware of any changes to data retention regulations, and abide by them.
- 5.5.7 Research Ethics Committees, funders or approving bodies may, for an individual project, extend the length of retention period or redefine the minimum data that should be retained if they consider it appropriate. Researchers **must** ensure that they abide by the retention requirements of any relevant bodies and any project-specific variations.
- 5.5.8 More information on data retention, sharing and storage is available from the Data Management website.⁶⁸

5.6 Acting as a peer reviewer for funding applications

- 5.6.1 The assessment procedures used by the Research Councils, major charities, and Government Departments are based extensively on peer and merit review, combining as necessary the views of expert referees and of committees or panels, whose members have been drawn from the academic and other user communities.
- 5.6.2 Individuals agreeing to contribute to the peer review process for external organisations providing research funding **must** observe the following rules:
- All the information made available to a researcher as a peer reviewer **must** be treated in the strictest confidence.
 - Peer review **must** be undertaken in line with the regulations of the body making the request.
 - Reviewers **must not** take advantage of any information obtained as a result of their

⁶⁷ Available from http://www2.le.ac.uk/colleges/medbiopsych/research/researchgovernance/Research_sponsorship/standard-operational-procedures-and-related-forms

⁶⁸ Available from <http://www2.le.ac.uk/services/research-data/find-and-share-data>

role; in particular they **must not** pirate unfunded proposals.

- Reviewers **must** declare any conflicts of interest and, normally, decline an invitation to review, or withdraw from the relevant discussion(s). Anyone with close professional, personal or commercial interest in a piece of work, or a member of the same University Department as the applicant(s), is ineligible to comment.
- If reviewers consider themselves to be insufficiently expert in the area of research on which they have been asked to comment, they **must** make this clear; in such circumstances, they **should** return the work they have been asked to judge.
- If reviewers are unable to respond to the request within the timescale indicated, they **should** immediately inform the person or organisation making the request and agree whether an extension is possible or if they should decline the request.

5.6.3 If the peer review guidance provided by the research funder or other organisation differs in detail from the rules in para 5.6.2 (above), the individual should adhere to the guidance provided by the organisation.

5.6.4 If you are asked to peer-review internally, such as during the development of applications for research funding, you **must** consider yourself bound by the above guidance, with the exception that members of the same University Department as the applicant are not barred from providing internal review. However, it is recommended that some reviewers come from different Departments to the applicant.

5.7 Reviewing manuscripts and other confidential information

5.7.1 The provisions above on peer review of grant proposals apply equally to the review of

manuscripts for publication and all other forms of confidential information received (for example, in respect to patents, technical or commercial reports etc.). The term '**manuscript**' used in this section refers to all and any such forms of confidential information.

5.7.2 Reviewers of manuscripts **must** adhere to the guidelines of the publisher/originating body, and **must** treat manuscripts in the strictest confidence even when the requirement is not explicit in the publisher's/originator's guidelines.

5.7.3 Where sight of a manuscript is likely to lead to a conflict of interest because it contains information and/or conclusions which are similar to those being brought to press (in a journal, book, patent or any other form of output) by the reviewer, whether collaboratively or otherwise, the manuscript **must not** be reviewed and **must** be returned to the publisher/originator immediately.

5.7.4 This section also applies to internal reviewing of draft outputs for colleagues and any assessment of outputs for the Research Excellence Framework or similar exercises.

6 Research Misconduct

6.1 Misconduct policy

6.1.1 The University considers misconduct in research to be completely unacceptable. All researchers **must** adhere to the principles of good practice outlined in this Code, in addition to any additional requirements placed upon them by legislation, professional bodies or other organisations. These additional requirements include, for example, those

relating to clinical projects requiring research sponsorship.⁶⁹

- 6.1.2 Alleged research misconduct by University staff will be dealt with according to *Ordinance 23 (Discipline)*⁷⁰ and its associated *Policy*⁷¹ and *Procedure*.⁷² For studies sponsored by the University of Leicester that fall under the oversight of the Research Governance Office, any investigation into the conduct of the study will be conducted according to SOP S-1016 available on the Research Governance webpages of the College of Life Sciences⁷³. Alleged research misconduct involving investigators holding current or recent Research Council Funding will be reported in accordance with Research Council guidance via the Pro-Vice Chancellor for Research and Enterprise.
- 6.1.3 Cases of alleged misconduct involving registered students (both undergraduate and postgraduate) will be dealt with according to the procedures laid out in the relevant student regulations.
- 6.1.4 When a researcher is both a student and an employee (e.g. a graduate teaching or research assistant, an undergraduate doing hourly paid work via Unitemps, or a member of staff taking a part-time undergraduate, postgraduate taught or postgraduate research degree), the route by which misconduct will be investigated will normally be determined by whether the alleged misconduct took place during staff or student duties.

- 6.1.5 Misconduct in research includes acts of omission as well as acts of commission.
- 6.1.6 Allegations of misconduct in research will be judged by the standards which prevail in the country in question at the date that the behaviour under investigation took place.

6.2 Types of misconduct in research

- 6.2.1 The definitions used here are adapted from UK Research & Innovation's (UKRI) *Policy and Guidelines on Governance of Good Research Conduct*⁷⁴. Researchers **must** ensure that they do not commit any of the following acts:
- 6.2.2 **Fabrication** comprises the creation of false data or other aspects of research, including documentation and participant consent.
- 6.2.3 **Falsification** comprises inappropriate manipulation and/or selection of data, images and/or other contents.
- 6.2.4 **Plagiarism** comprises the misappropriation or use of others' ideas, intellectual property or work (written or otherwise), without acknowledgement or permission. It includes self-plagiarism: reuse of one's own work without suitable acknowledgement or permission.
- 6.2.5 **Misrepresentation** includes:
- Misrepresentation of data, such as by suppression of relevant findings, or knowingly, recklessly or by gross negligence presenting a flawed data interpretation;

⁶⁹ Available from http://www2.le.ac.uk/colleges/medbiopsych/research/researchgovernance/Research_sponsorship/standard-operational-procedures-and-related-forms.

⁷⁰ Available from: <https://le.ac.uk/about/governance-and-management/governance/documents/ordinances#ordinance-25-academic-staff>

⁷¹ Available from: <http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/hr/docs/policies/disc-ord-pol.pdf>.

⁷² Available from: <http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/hr/docs/policies/disc-ord-proc.pdf>.

⁷³ Available from https://www2.le.ac.uk/colleges/medbiopsych/research/researchgovernance/Research_sponsorship/standard-operational-procedures-and-related-forms

⁷⁴ Available from <https://www.ukri.org/files/legacy/reviews/grc/rcuk-grp-policy-and-guidelines-updated-apr-17-2-pdf/>

- Undisclosed duplication of publication, including duplicate submission of manuscripts for publication;
- Misrepresentation of interests, including failure to declare material interests either of the researcher or of the research funders;
- Misrepresentation of qualifications and/or experience, including claiming or implying qualifications or experience not held; and/or
- Misrepresentation of involvement, such as inappropriate claims to authorship and/or attribution of work where there has been no significant contribution, or the denial of authorship where an author has made a significant contribution.

6.2.6 **Breach of duty of care** includes, whether deliberately, recklessly or by gross negligence:

- Disclosing improperly the identity of individuals or groups involved in research without their consent, or any other breach of confidentiality;
- Placing any of those involved in research in danger, whether as subjects, participants or associated individuals, without their prior consent, and without appropriate safeguards even with consent (this includes reputational danger where that can be predicted);
- Not taking all reasonable care to ensure that the risks and dangers, the broad objectives and the sponsors of the research are known to participants or their legal representatives, and/or to ensure appropriate informed consent is obtained properly, explicitly and transparently;
- Not observing legal and reasonable ethical requirements or obligations of care for human or animal subjects, human organs or tissue used in research, or for the protection of the environment; and/or
- Improper conduct in peer review of research proposals or results (including manuscripts submitted for publication);

this includes failure to disclose conflicts of interest; inadequate disclosure of clearly limited competence; misappropriation of the content; and breach of confidentiality or abuse of material provided in confidence for peer review purposes.

- Breach of any express or implied confidentiality provision including provisions relating to externally awarded funding or research involving external organisations.

6.2.7 **Failure to meet ethical, legal and professional obligations** includes failure to meet the standards of relevant professional bodies (e.g. the General Medical Council) and standards and limitations applied to research by research funders or Research Ethics Committees. Failure to meet ethical, legal and professional standards may also comprise failure to declare competing interests; misrepresentation of involvement or authorship; misrepresentation of interests; breach of confidentiality; lack of informed consent; misuse of personal data; and abuse of research subjects or materials.

6.2.8 **Improper dealing with allegations of misconduct** includes:

- Failure to address possible infringements, including attempts to cover up misconduct or reprisals against whistle-blowers; and/or
- Failure to deal appropriately with malicious allegations, which should be handled formally as breaches of good conduct.

6.2.9 The list of types of research misconduct above is not intended to be exhaustive. Honest errors and differences in, for example, research methodology and interpretations are not examples of research misconduct.

6.3 Reporting misconduct in research

6.3.1 A complaint of misconduct in research concerning a University member of staff or postgraduate research student **must** be made to the Chair of the Research Strategy, Policy

and Performance Committee for an initial assessment of the nature and severity of the allegations.

- 6.3.2 A complaint of misconduct in research concerning an undergraduate or taught postgraduate student **must** be reviewed by the Head of Department in the first instance before a decision is made on the most appropriate route for dealing with the complaint. In making their decision, the Head of Department **may** seek advice from the Chair of the Research Strategy, Policy and Performance Committee or the Academic Registrar.
- 6.3.3 In the case of a member of staff, the Chair of the Research Strategy, Policy and Performance Committee will contact the relevant Human Resources Business Partner (HRBP) immediately on receipt of an allegation of misconduct to agree the appropriate process for investigating the allegations.
- 6.3.4 In the case of a student, the Chair of the Research Strategy, Policy and Performance Committee will contact the Director of the Doctoral College or Head of Department (as appropriate) immediately on receipt of an allegation of misconduct to agree the appropriate process for investigating the allegations.
- 6.3.5 Where, in the view of the Chair of the Research Strategy, Policy and Performance Committee (in consultation with the HRBP or the Director of the Doctoral College or the Head of Department, as appropriate), it would be appropriate to manage the alleged misconduct informally, this **may** be done without recourse to the University's formal procedures.

- 6.3.6 The non-contractual *Procedure for the Investigation of Misconduct in Research*,⁷⁵ published by the UK Research Integrity Office, will normally be used to investigate cases of alleged misconduct in research involving University staff, as recommended by the University's *Discipline (Ordinance Policy)*⁷⁶. In general, investigations will commence with the appointment of a Chair, who will then appoint an Investigating Officer to conduct an investigation, review the evidence and create a report as to whether there is evidence of research misconduct, and if so the nature and extent of this, or whether the allegation is (e.g.) frivolous or malicious and should be dismissed. The Chair, working with HR and others as required, will consider the report and decide whether the matter is best dealt with informally (e.g. via training), or should be taken to a formal hearing under the Discipline Ordinance. Actions to deal appropriately with the research misconduct – such as retraction of a publication – will may be taken separately to any informal or disciplinary outcomes or hearings and will be proposed by the Chair, based on their review of the report, for approval by the Chair of the Research Strategy, Policy and Performance Committee. Where there is a potential or actual issue of academic freedom, the procedural modifications (regarding a panel) set out in the University's *Discipline (Ordinance Procedure)* will be applied.
- 6.3.7 Where an investigation relates to the conduct of a study sponsored by the University of Leicester and under the oversight of the Research Governance Office, the investigation will be dealt with in accordance with SOP S-1016, reported to the University Research

⁷⁵ Available from: <http://www.ukrio.org/wp-content/uploads/UKRIO-Procedure-for-the-Investigation-of-Misconduct-in-Research.pdf>

⁷⁶ Available from <https://uniofleicester.sharepoint.com/sites/staff/informati>

Sponsorship Committee and escalated as required.⁷⁷

- 6.3.8 Cases of alleged misconduct involving registered students will be dealt with according to the procedures in *Senate Regulation Eleven: Regulations governing student discipline*.⁷⁸
- 6.3.9 All enquiries into alleged misconduct (including formal investigation, if any) will be conducted on the basis of confidentiality within the process (wherever possible), as well as of integrity and non-detriment, so that no party may suffer solely as a consequence of an allegation made in good faith.
- 6.3.10 The identity of the individual reporting serious research misconduct will be kept confidential wherever practicable. However, the identity of this individual may be revealed if, for example, it is deemed necessary in order to allow the person accused of misconduct to conduct their defence. If an anonymous complaint is received, the University will decide how to proceed, taking into account the nature and circumstances of the complaint.
- 6.3.11 A complaint **may** be made via an intermediary, but that intermediary **must** act solely as a conduit for the transfer of material between the complainant and the University, and **must not** seek to interfere with or influence in any way the intent or conduct of the case. Any person who is approached to act as intermediary who is not able to act in this manner **should** decline the request.
- 6.3.12 Where there is prima facie evidence that an allegation of research misconduct is made with vexatious or malicious intent, that allegation

may be considered as a disciplinary matter. A complainant may be given an opportunity to respond if the allegation is not accepted and if the complainant believes that they have been misunderstood or key evidence overlooked.

6.4 Notification of misconduct in research

- 6.4.1 The UKRI *Policy and Code of Conduct on the Governance of Good Research Conduct*⁷⁹ states that, once an informal investigation begins, “Where an allegation of research misconduct is about someone funded by, or engaged with, UKRI (including acting as a supervisor for an UKRI postgraduate student or engaged with peer review activities), even if it is about work not connected with a grant from a UK Research Council, the case should be reported to the relevant Council when a decision to undertake an informal inquiry has been made – i.e. that there is a reasonable case that research misconduct may have occurred [...] The Councils reserve the right to take appropriate action about any duties being performed for UKRI at any stage during the process.” The University will comply with these requirements and notify UKRI of any allegations of misconduct which have proceeded to formal investigation.
- 6.4.2 The University will also comply with the regulations of any other research funder, professional association or similar body in the reporting of investigations or proven allegations of research misconduct.
- 6.4.3 If an allegation of misconduct in research involves staff and / or students from another organisation(s), the University can, at its discretion consult, and / or work with these

⁷⁷ Available from https://www2.le.ac.uk/colleges/medbiopsych/research/researchgovernance/Research_sponsorship/standard-operational-procedures-and-related-forms

⁷⁸ Available from: <http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/sas2/regulations/documents/Senatereg11-discipline.pdf>

⁷⁹ Available at <https://www.ukri.org/files/legacy/reviews/grc/rcuk-grp-policy-and-guidelines-updated-apr-17-2-pdf/>

others on a joint investigation or to agree joint actions on outcomes.

6.5 Investigation of misconduct in research

6.5.1 Where an investigation involves an international collaborative project, the non-contractual OECD code for *Investigating Research Misconduct Allegations in International Collaborative Research Projects*⁸⁰ will be used as a guide, where agreed with the member of staff under investigation.

6.5.2 Without prejudice to the presumption of innocence, the Chair of the Research Strategy, Policy and Performance Committee (in consultation with HR) will consider whether it would be appropriate to appoint a replacement supervisor for any researchers or other staff linked to an investigation, for the duration of any investigation, in order to protect their interests and that of the member(s) of staff under investigation.

6.5.3 Should the complainant, respondent or any key witnesses refuse to co-operate with an investigation, or leave the University during an investigation, the University will be responsible for deciding whether to continue with or terminate the investigation, taking into account the specific details of the case.

6.5.4 If during the investigation of a complaint, evidence of misconduct in research is found distinct from that forming the basis of the initial investigation, the University will be responsible for deciding whether or not to investigate further, either as part of the initial investigation or as a separate investigation.

6.6 Penalties for misconduct in research

6.6.1 If research misconduct is found following the completion of an investigation, supplemental penalties may be agreed in addition to any

disciplinary or legal procedures. These may include:

- Retraction or correction of articles in published materials;
- Withdrawal or repayment of research funding;
- Notification to regulatory bodies and/or professional bodies, in particular if the concerns relate to Fitness to Practise;
- Notification to other employing institutions or organisations;
- Notification to other organisations involved in research including research funders;
- Notification to research participants, patients or their doctors;
- Review of internal management and or training and supervisory arrangements;
- The making of any public statement necessary to protect the good name and reputation of the University;
- Any actions necessary to safeguard research participants, patients and any other involved parties;
- Addressing and remedying any research misconduct that may have taken place;
- Reporting on any procedural or organisational issues which should be reviewed by the institution; and/or
- Remedial training, mentoring and monitoring when the person(s) involved continue to work or study at the University.

6.6.2 The University reserves the right to report proven allegations of research misconduct against its staff, honorary and emeritus staff, former staff and current and former registered students to potential, new and subsequent employers. Where employees or students of another institution are involved in a collaborative research project with the University and are implicated in an allegation or finding of research misconduct, the

⁸⁰ Available from <http://www.oecd.org/science/sci-tech/42770261.pdf>

University reserves the right to notify the home institution of those involved.

7 Research Code of Conduct

- 7.1.1 First version of Code 2008. Revised July 2011, October 2014 and June 2016. This version approved June 2018. The next revision is due in 2019/20.

Annexe A

I. University of Leicester policies, guidance and codes of practice

- University of Leicester, *Statutes*. Available from:
<https://le.ac.uk/about/governance-and-management/governance/documents/statutes>
- University of Leicester, *Ordinances*. Available from:
<https://le.ac.uk/about/governance-and-management/governance/documents/ordinances>
- University of Leicester, *Senate Regulations*. Available from:
<http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/sas2/regulations/senate-regulations>
- University of Leicester, *Purchasing Policy*. Available from:
<http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/finance/staff/regulations/4.-purchasing-policy>
- University of Leicester, *Whistleblowing Policy*. Available from:
<https://le.ac.uk/about/governance-and-management/governance/policies>
- University of Leicester, *Research Ethics Code of Practice*. Available from:
<http://www2.le.ac.uk/institution/ethics/code>
- University of Leicester, *Ethical Policy and Guidelines for the Acceptance and Refusal of Donations and Research and Enterprise Funding and Guidance Note on definition of philanthropic donations with worked examples*. Available from:
<http://www2.le.ac.uk/alumni/about/ethical/>
- University of Leicester, *Discipline (Ordinance Policy)*. Available from:
<https://uniofleicester.sharepoint.com/sites/staff/information-for-managers/managing-performance/Shared%20Documents/Performance%20Management%20Ordinance%20Policy.pdf?csf=1&e=3H14YA>
- University of Leicester, *Discipline (Ordinance Procedure)*. Available from:
<https://uniofleicester.sharepoint.com/sites/staff/information-for-managers/managing-performance/Shared%20Documents/Performance%20Management%20Ordinance%20Procedure.pdf?csf=1&e=1Mb0k9>
- University of Leicester, *Information Security Policy*. Available from:
<http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/ias/resources/policies/ispolicy/>
- University of Leicester, *Data Protection Code of Practice*. Available from:
http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/ias/resources/policies/dpp/dp_codeofpractice.pdf
- University of Leicester Research Data Management Principles. Available from:
http://www2.le.ac.uk/services/research-data/documents/uol_rdmprinciples
- University of Leicester Research Data Management website. Available from:
www.le.ac.uk/researchdata
- University of Leicester Data Planning guidance documents. Available from:
<http://www2.le.ac.uk/services/research-data/advice-and-support/internal>
- University of Leicester, *Freedom of Information Code of Practice*. Available from:
<http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/ias/foi/freedom-of-information-act-policy-and-guidance>
- University of Leicester, *Record Management Policy* (and guidance documents). Available from:
<http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/ias/records>
- University of Leicester, *Open Access Policy*. Available from:
<http://www2.le.ac.uk/library/for/researchers/publish/open-access>
- University of Leicester, *Policy for the Treatment and Governance of Intellectual Property*. Available from:
<http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/ebd/documents/IP%20Policy.pdf>

- University of Leicester and University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust, *Memorandum of Understanding on Joint Working for Effective Research Governance*. Available from:
<http://www2.le.ac.uk/colleges/medbiopsych/research/researchgovernance/mou-with-uhl-nhs-trust-on-research-governance>
- University of Leicester Research Sponsorship Management and Operations Group, *Standard Operational Procedures*. Available from:
http://www2.le.ac.uk/colleges/medbiopsych/research/researchgovernance/Research_sponsorship/standard-operational-procedures-and-related-forms
- University of Leicester, *Procedure in the Event of Non-Compliance in Research Sponsored by the University*. Available from:
http://www2.le.ac.uk/colleges/medbiopsych/research/researchgovernance/Research_sponsorship/standard-operational-procedures-and-related-forms/sops/october-2013/sop-s-1016-procedure-in-event-of-non-compliance
- University of Leicester Equal Opportunities Strategy. Available from:
<https://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/equalities-unit/about-us/a-culture-of-equality-strategy>

II. UK Research and Innovation policies, guidance and codes of practice

- UK Research and Innovation, *Policy and Guidelines on Governance of Good Research Conduct* (April 2017). Available from:
<https://www.ukri.org/files/legacy/reviews/grc/rcuk-grp-policy-and-guidelines-updated-apr-17-2-pdf/>
- UK Research and Innovation, *Frequently Asked Questions on Policy and Guidelines on Governance of Good Research Conduct* (January 2018). Available from:
<https://www.ukri.org/files/legacy/documents/rcuk-grp-policy-and-guidelines-faqs-jan-18-pdf/>
- UK Research and Innovation Open Access Policy. Available from:
<https://www.ukri.org/files/legacy/documents/rcukopenaccesspolicy-pdf/>
- UK Research and Innovation Open Access Policy FAQs. Available from:
<https://www.ukri.org/files/legacy/documents/oa-faqs-sept-17-pdf/>
- UK Research and Innovation, *Common Principles on Data Policy*. Available from:
<https://www.ukri.org/funding/information-for-award-holders/data-policy/common-principles-on-data-policy/>
- UK Research and Innovation Terms and Conditions of fEC and training grants:
<https://www.ukri.org/funding/information-for-award-holders/grant-terms-and-conditions/>
- Economic and Social Research Council, *Framework for research ethics*. Available from:
<https://esrc.ukri.org/funding/guidance-for-applicants/research-ethics/>
- Medical Research Council, *Good research practice: principles and guidelines* (MRC ethics series). Available from:
<https://mrc.ukri.org/research/policies-and-guidance-for-researchers/good-research-practice/>
- Natural Environment Research Council, *Good research conduct, research integrity and other policies*. Available from:
<https://nerc.ukri.org/about/policy/policies/>

III. Other relevant policies, guidance and codes of practice

- Data Protection Act 2018. Available from:
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2018/12/contents/enacted>

- Freedom of Information Act 2000. Available from:
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2000/36>
- General Data Protection Regulation. Available from
<https://ico.org.uk/for-organisations/guide-to-the-general-data-protection-regulation-gdpr>
- UK Research Integrity Office, *Code of Practice for Research* (Sept 2009). Available from:
<http://www.ukrio.org/wp-content/uploads/UKRIO-Code-of-Practice-for-Research.pdf>
- UK Research Integrity Office, *Procedure for the Investigation of Misconduct in Research* (Aug 2008). Available from:
<http://www.ukrio.org/wp-content/uploads/UKRIO-Procedure-for-the-Investigation-of-Misconduct-in-Research.pdf>
- Universities UK, *The Concordat to Support Research Integrity* (Jul 2012). Available from:
<http://www.universitiesuk.ac.uk/policy-and-analysis/reports/Documents/2012/the-concordat-to-support-research-integrity.pdf>
- Universities UK, *Guidance on Oversight of security-sensitive research material in UK universities*;
<http://www.universitiesuk.ac.uk/highereducation/Documents/2012/OversightOfSecuritySensitiveResearchMaterial.pdf>
- European Science Foundation (ESF) and All European Academies (ALLEA). *The European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity*. Available from:
http://www.esf.org/fileadmin/Public_documents/Publications/Code_Conduct_ResearchIntegrity.pdf
- Singapore Statement on Research Integrity. Available from:
<http://www.singaporestatement.org/>
- OECD code for Investigating Research Misconduct Allegations in International Collaborative Research Projects April 2009). Available from:
<http://www.oecd.org/science/sci-tech/42770261.pdf>
- Wellcome Trust Open Access Policy. Available from:
<http://www.wellcome.ac.uk/about-us/policy/spotlight-issues/Open-access/index.htm>
- Association of Medical Research Charities Open Access Position statement. Available from:
<http://www.amrc.org.uk/publications/amrc-position-statement-on-open-access>
- EU Horizon2020 Open Access Policy. Available from:
<https://ec.europa.eu/research/openscience/index.cfm?pg=openaccess>

Annexe B: UKRIO checklist for researchers

Taken with permission from <http://www.ukrio.org/publications/code-of-practice-for-research>.

Recommended checklist for researchers

The Checklist lists the key points of good practice in research for a research project and is applicable to all subject areas. More detailed guidance can be found in section 3. A PDF version is available from www.ukrio.org

Before conducting your research, and bearing in mind that, subject to legal and ethical requirements, roles and contributions may change during the time span of the research:

- 1 Does the proposed research address pertinent question(s) and is it designed either to add to existing knowledge about the subject in question or to develop methods for research into it?
- 2 Is your research design appropriate for the question(s) being asked?
- 3 Will you have access to all necessary skills and resources to conduct the research?
- 4 Have you conducted a risk assessment to determine:
 - a whether there are any ethical issues and whether ethics review is required;
 - b the potential for risks to the organisation, the research, or the health, safety and well-being of researchers and research participants; and
 - c what legal requirements govern the research?
- 5 Will your research comply with all legal and ethical requirements and other applicable guidelines, including those from other organisations and/or countries if relevant?
- 6 Will your research comply with all requirements of legislation and good practice relating to health and safety?
- 7 Has your research undergone any necessary ethics review (see 4(a) above), especially if it involves animals, human participants, human material or personal data?
- 8 Will your research comply with any monitoring and audit requirements?
- 9 Are you in compliance with any contracts and financial guidelines relating to the project?
- 10 Have you reached an agreement relating to intellectual property, publication and authorship?
- 11 Have you reached an agreement relating to collaborative working, if applicable?
- 12 Have you agreed the roles of researchers and responsibilities for management and supervision?
- 13 Have all conflicts of interest relating to your research been identified, declared and addressed?
- 14 Are you aware of the guidance from all applicable organisations on misconduct in research?

When conducting your research:

- 1 Are you following the agreed research design for the project?
- 2 Have any changes to the agreed research design been reviewed and approved if applicable?
- 3 Are you following best practice for the collection, storage and management of data?
- 4 Are agreed roles and responsibilities for management and supervision being fulfilled?
- 5 Is your research complying with any monitoring and audit requirements?

When finishing your research:

- 1 Will your research and its findings be reported accurately, honestly and within a reasonable time frame?
- 2 Will all contributions to the research be acknowledged?
- 3 Are agreements relating to intellectual property, publication and authorship being complied with?
- 4 Will research data be retained in a secure and accessible form and for the required duration?
- 5 Will your research comply with all legal, ethical and contractual requirements?